

TRAFFIC SAFETY FACTS

Occupant Protection

-Katherine L. Chen, Bor-Wen Tsai, Garrett Fortin, and Jill F. Cooper-

PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION AND DATA ANALYSIS

Restraint devices such as seat belts are a key element of motor vehicle occupant protection systems. Each year, NHTSA conducts the National Occupant Protection Use Survey (NOPUS) that measures, among many variables, the daytime use of seat belts by occupants age eight and older. The 2020 NOPUS reported that seat belt use was 90.3 percent among front-seat passengers, a slight decrease from the 90.7 percent observed in 2018. This change, along with the changes in subsets such as time of day or day of the week, was not statistically significant.

The United States Department of Transportation uses the Safe System Approach to work towards zero roadway fatalities and serious injuries. The Safe System Approach recognizes human mistakes and vulnerabilities, and designs a system with many redundancies in place to protect everyone. The Federal Highway Administration names safe road users, safe vehicles, safe speeds, safe roads, and post-crash care as key elements of a Safe System. Proper use of seat belts and other occupant safety devices is an important component of the "Safer Vehicles" and "Safer People" layers of protection.

Analyses presented in the occupant protection program area include fatal and serious injuries where a driver or passenger in a passenger vehicle was unrestrained. Occupant protection crashes in this report are defined as crashes where one or more occupants in a passenger vehicle was unrestrained. Under this program area, there is additional analyses that address aging road users and child passenger safety.

KEY FINDINGS

NATIONAL DATA

- Seat belt use among vehicle occupants in the western region of the U.S. slightly decreased from 94.5 percent in 2019 to 93.8 percent in 2020.
- In the United States, there were 10,983 unrestrained passenger vehicle occupants killed in traffic crashes in 2020, a 14.4 percent increase from 9,523 in 2019 (see Figure 1).
- In 2020, of the 21,376 passenger vehicle occupants with known restraint use killed in motor vehicle traffic crashes, 10,893 or 51.0 percent were known to be unrestrained.
- In 2020, daytime restraint use was higher than nighttime restraint use; 58.3 percent of passenger vehicle occupants with known restraint use involved in a nighttime fatal crash were unrestrained, compared with 43.7 percent involved in a daytime crash who were unrestrained.

Figure 1: Unrestrained Occupant Fatality Trends, Nationwide and California, 2016-2020



Source: FARS 2016 - 2019 Final File, 2020 ARF

CALIFORNIA DATA

- In California, there were 756 unrestrained occupants killed in traffic crashes in 2020, a 19.2 percent increase from 634 in 2019.
- In 2019, California's front seat belt use was observed to be 96.0 percent, which was the second-highest use rate among all states. California did not conduct a seat belt survey in 2020.
- California's front seat belt use rate for those aged 5 and older has been greater than 95.0 percent from 2015 to 2019.
- According to the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 97.6 percent of respondents in 2020 reported that they always or nearly always wear a seat belt. This is not a significant change from 97.4 percent in 2018.

Primary Crash Factors of Unrestrained Occupant Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes

In California in 2020, the top five primary crash factors for unrestrained occupant bicycling fatal and serious injury crashes were driving or bicycling under the influence of alcohol or drugs (38.9 percent), improper turning (28.7 percent), unsafe speed (14.4 percent), traffic signals and signs (4.7 percent), and wrong side of the road (3.5 percent). The primary crash factor does not indicate which party is at fault (see Figure 2).

Crash Types for Unrestrained Occupant and Serious Injury Crashes

Nearly half (48.5 percent) of unrestrained occupant fatal and serious injury crashes were due to hitting an object. Other common crash types for unrestrained occupant crashes were overturned vehicle at 13.1 percent and broadside at 13.0 percent (see Figure 3).

Figure 2: Top Five Primary Collision Factors for Unrestrained Occupant Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes, California, 2020



Source: Provisional SWITRS 2020

Figure 3: Top Five Crash Types for Unrestrained Occupant Fatal and Serious Injury Victims, California, 2020



Source: Provisional SWITRS, 2020

REFERENCES

- National Center for Statistics and Analysis. (2021, February). Seat belt use in 2020 - Overall Results. Traffic Safety Facts Research Note. Report No. DOT HS 813 072). National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- National Center for Statistics and Analysis. (2021, April). Seat Belt Use in 2020–Use Rates in the States and Territories (Traffic Safety Facts Crash Stats. Report No. DOT HS 813 109). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- Stewart, T. (2022, March). Overview of Motor Vehicle Crashes in 2020. (Report No. DOT HS 813 266). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- State Traffic Safety Information (STSI). Traffic Safety Performance (Core Outcome) Measures For California. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. <u>https://cdan.nhtsa.gov/STSI.htm</u>
- J. Enrique. National Center for Statistics and Analysis. (2021, September.) Occupant Restraint Use in 2020: Results From the NOPUS Controlled Intersection Study. (Report No. DOT HS 813 186). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Division of Population Health. BRFSS Prevalence & Trends Data [online]. 2015. [Accessed April 2, 2022]. URL: https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/brfssprevalence/.

COUNTY TABLE: OCCUPANT PROTECTION

Figure 4: Occupant Protection Fatalities and Serious Injuries, by Number and Rate, 2020

County	Population	Fatalities	Serious Injuries	Fatal & Serious Injuries (FSI)	FSI per 100K Population
Alameda	1,681,700	19	44	63	3.75
Alpine	1,199	0	1	1	83.40
Amador	40,506	3	3	6	14.81
Butte	211,216	5	20	25	11.84
Calaveras	45,277	1	14	15	33.13
Colusa	21,826	2	9	11	50.40
Contra Costa	1,166,669	20	35	55	4.71
Del Norte	27,745	1	5	6	21.63
El Dorado	191,282	4	18	22	11.50
Fresno	1,008,860	25	51	76	7.53
Glenn	28,822	3	9	12	41.64
Humboldt	136,514	6	26	32	23.44
Imperial	178,537	5	16	21	11.76
Inyo	18,977	4	8	12	63.23
Kern	907,021	54	89	143	15.77
Kings	153,085	8	11	19	12.41
Lake	68,099	4	15	19	27.90
Lassen	32,025	1	6	7	21.86
Los Angeles	10,012,474	112	306	418	4.18
Madera	156,519	10	14	24	15.33
Marin	262,410	1	7	8	3.05
Mariposa	17,123	0	4	4	23.36
Mendocino	91,602	8	21	29	31.66
Merced	280,873	10	57	67	23.85
Modoc	8,703	3	3	6	68.94
Mono	13,185	4	6	10	75.84
Monterey	439,008	13	24	37	8.43
Napa	138,433	4	8	12	8.67
Nevada	102,392	5	10	15	14.65
Orange	3,184,513	16	43	59	1.85
Placer	405,308	8	35	43	10.61
Plumas	19,666	2	3	5	25.43
Riverside	2,421,480	56	129	185	/.64
Sacramento	1,585,666	26	/8	104	6.56
San Bernardina	04,110	4	180	15	23.40
San Bernaraino	2,181,983	100	180	280	12.83
San Diego	3,303,736	49	94	143	4.33
San Francisco	8/0,985	20	4	10	1.15
San Juic Obispo	700,070	32	15	70	4.01
San Matoo	742 497	2	10	17	2.24
Santa Barbara	/ //8 659	0	12	18	4.01
Santa Clara	1 933 514	17	20	37	1.91
Santa Cruz	272 340	2	20	11	1.71
Shasta	181 881	9	20	29	15.94
Sierra	3 233	1	1	27	61.86
Siskiyou	44 091	5	17	2	49.90
Solano	453 405	11	19	30	6.62
Sonoma	489,880	2	17	19	3.88
Stanislaus	553.995	18	43	61	11.01
Sutter	100,751	2	19	21	20.84
Tehama	65,643	10	17	27	41,13
Trinity	16,135	2	3	5	30,99
Tulare	473.482	23	52	75	15.84
Tuolumne	55.500		16	16	28.83
Ventura	844.545	7	28	35	4,14
Yolo	216.544	, 5	9	14	6.47
Yuba	81,468	1	13	14	17.19
Total	39,541,786	756	1.832	2.588	6.54
	0000 D				0004

Source: FARS ARF 2020; Provisional SWITRS 2020; California Department of Finance 2021.

Funding for this program was provided by a grant from the California Office of Traffic Safety, through the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.