



### TRAFFIC SAFETY FACTS

# Alcohol-Impaired Driving

-Katherine L. Chen, Bor-Wen Tsai, Garrett Fortin, and Jill F. Cooper-

### PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION AND DATA ANALYSIS

While alcohol-impaired driving fatalities have fallen significantly in the last three decades, NHTSA reports that alcohol-impaired driving still comprises a large percentage of traffic injuries and fatalities. On average in 2020, someone died from an alcohol-impaired driving crash every 45 minutes. There was an increase in the number of alcohol-impaired driving fatalities and rate per 100 million Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) in the United States between 2019 and 2020.

The United States Department of Transportation uses the Safe System Approach to work towards zero roadway fatalities and serious injuries. With alcohol-impaired driving accounting for 30.0 percent of all traffic fatalities, designing streets to protect people even when they make unsafe decisions is critical. The Safe System Approach recognizes human mistakes and vulnerabilities, and designs a system with many redundancies in place to protect everyone. The Federal Highway Administration names safe road users, safe vehicles, safe speeds, safe roads, and post-crash care as key elements of a Safe System. These elements together create multiple layers of protection to improve safety.


To identify crashes involving alcohol-impaired drivers in FARS, SafeTREC applied the multiple imputation method outlined in DOT HS 809 403. Analyses from FARS presented for this program area are derived from crashes with a driver, pedestrian, or bicyclist with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 or greater. Analyses from SWITRS presented in this program area refer to alcohol involvement and include fatalities and serious injuries where law enforcement reported a driver, pedestrian, or bicyclist to have been drinking. Crashes in the program area are defined as one where one or more drivers, pedestrians, or bicyclists is alcohol-impaired or had been drinking (alcohol-involved) depending on which data set is used.

### KEY FINDINGS

#### **NATIONAL DATA**

- In the United States, there were 11,654 people killed in alcohol-impaired crashes in 2020, a 14.3 percent increase from 10,196 in 2019 (see Figure 1).
- Alcohol-impaired driving fatality rate per 100 million VMT increased 29.0 percent from 0.31 in 2019 to 0.40 in 2020.
- All 50 states have laws that make it illegal to drive with a BAC of .08 grams per deciliter (g/dL) or higher; in 2019, Utah lowered its BAC limit to .05 g/dL. Testing standards for when to administer a BAC test vary considerably between states and local jurisdictions which affect the accuracy and reliability of BAC estimates.
- Drivers of all vehicle types, except people driving vans, experienced an increase in the number of alcohol-impaired drivers involved in fatal crashes from 2019 to 2020.
- Of the 53,890 drivers involved in fatal crashes nationally in 2020, only 21,408 drivers, or 39.7 percent, had known BAC test results. Across all states, the percentage of drivers with known BAC test results ranged from 7.3 in Massachusetts to 86.2 percent in South Dakota.

Figure 1: Alcohol-Impaired Fatality Trends, Nationwide and California, 2016-2020



Source: FARS 2016-2019, Final File, 2020 ARF

In the United States in 2020, alcohol-impaired driving fatalities as a proportion of all traffic fatalities increased from 28.1 percent in 2019 to 30.0 percent in 2020.

#### **CALIFORNIA DATA**

- In California, there were 1,159 people killed in alcohol-impaired crashes in 2020, a 20.0 percent increase from 966 in 2019.
- In California, of the 3,847 motor vehicle fatalities in 2020, 30.1 percent involved a driver with a BAC of .08 or higher. This is slightly higher than the national average of 30.0 percent.
- California only reported BAC results for 35.5 percent of drivers involved in a fatal crash in 2020, which is lower than the national average of 39.7 percent. Testing rates were higher for drivers who died than drivers who survived, but testing rates in California for both groups were lower than the national average. Of drivers who died, 56.3 percent had known BAC test results compared to only 21.1 percent of drivers that survived. The comparable national figures were 59.2 percent and 23.1 percent, respectively.
- In 2021, Californians were asked about their top traffic safety concerns in the Traffic Safety Survey sponsored by the Office of Traffic Safety. The thirdmost frequently cited safety problem was "Drunk Driving," reported by 68.7 percent of the drivers and accounting for 17.5 percent of responses, a slight decrease from 17.9 percent of responses in 2020 and an increase from 9.2 percent of responses in 2019.


### State-level Analysis

The figures in this section refer to drivers, passengers, bicyclists, and pedestrians fatally injured in an alcohol-impaired crash and fatally or seriously injured in an alcohol-involved crash in California in 2020. These numbers are the products of UCB SafeTREC analysis.

### Fatal Alcohol-Impaired and Serious Injury Alcohol-Involved Crashes by County

- Kern, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, and San Diego counties had the highest number of alcohol-impaired fatal injuries. These same seven counties, with the inclusion of San Joaquin, also had the highest number of alcoholinvolved serious injuries (see Figure 3).
- Alpine, Amador, Colusa, Modoc, Plumas, Sierra, Tehama, and Trinity counties had the highest rate of alcohol-impaired fatal injuries per 100k population. Similarly, Alpine, Sierra, and Trinity counties also had high alcohol-involved serious injury rates per 100k population. Other counties with high rates of alcohol-involved serious injuries were Calaveras, Inyo, Mendocino, Siskiyou, and Tuolumne counties.

Figure 2: Top Five Primary Crash Factors for Alcohol-Impaired Driving Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes, California, 2020



Source: Provisional SWITRS 2020

### Primary Crash Factors of Alcohol-Involved Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes

Expectedly, the vast majority, 77.5 percent, of the primary crash factors (PCF) for alcohol-involved crashes were classified as driving or bicycling under the influence of alcohol or drugs. Following that PCF, unsafe speed (5.6 percent) and improper turning (5.1 percent) were the most frequent PCFs recorded (see Figure 2).

## Crash Types for Alcohol-Involved Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes

Hit object was the most prevalent type of alcoholinvolved crash at 37.3 percent. This was followed by rear end crashes at 14.9 percent, broadside at 13.3 percent, and head-on at 11.6 percent.

### Time and Day of Alcohol-Impaired Fatal and Alcohol-Involved Serious Injuries

safetrec.berkeley.edu

- The number of alcohol-impaired fatalities was highest from 3 PM to 9 PM, with 44.8% of fatalities occurring during this period. The day with the most alcoholimpaired fatalities was Friday, with 22.8 percent, followed by Sunday, with 18.7 percent. The peak period was at the intersection of these two patterns: 68 fatalities, 5.9 percent of the total, occurred between 6PM and 9PM on Friday.
- The pattern of alcohol-involved serious injuries was somewhat different. The time of day with the highest numbers was 9PM to midnight with 25.3 percent of serious injuries, followed by 6PM to 9 PM and midnight to 3 AM, with 21.5 percent and 21.2 percent respectively. Together they comprised 68.0 percent of all alcohol-involved serious injuries. The days with the most alcohol-involved serious injuries were Sunday and Saturday, with 23.7 percent and 21.7 percent, respectively. The peak period was on Sunday from midnight to 3 AM, with 230 serious injuries, or 7.2 percent of the total.

### Alcohol-Impaired Fatal and Alcohol-Involved Serious Injury Victim Demographics

- Alcohol-impaired fatal and alcohol-involved serious injury victims were predominantly male. The largest demographic of fatalities was male victims age 15 to 24, with 22.9 percent of fatally-injured victims, while the largest demographic of serious injuries was males 25 to 34, who comprised 21.5 percent of seriouslyinjured victims.
- Race was not reported for 39.4 percent of the alcohol-impaired driving fatalities. Of the 704 fatalities with a known race, 80.2 percent (or 564) were white.

### **Crash Location for Fatal Alcohol-Impaired Victims**

- About two-thirds (68.7 percent) of alcohol-impaired fatalities occurred in urban areas compared to 31.1 percent on rural roads. However only about 18.5 percent of travel took place on rural roads in 2019.
- The type of roadway with the greatest share of alcohol-impaired fatalities was non-interstate principal arterials at 38.4 percent, followed by noninterstate minor arterials at 23.5 percent and noninterstate collectors at 15.4 percent.

### Vehicle Type for Fatally Injured Victims of Alcohol-Impaired Crashes

In 2020, passenger cars were involved in 48.1 percent of alcohol-impaired fatalities followed by non-motor vehicle occupants at 16.1 percent, motorcycles at 12.6 percent, and utility vehicles at 10.5 percent.

### REFERENCES

- California Department of Transportation. (2021, December). California Public Road Data 2020.
- Ewald & Wasserman Research Consultants, LLC. (2021, June). California Traffic Safety Survey 2021. Elk Grove, CA: California Office of Traffic Safety.
- Federal Highway Administration. (2020, July). The Safe System Approach. Washington, DC: Federal Highway Administration. Available at: <a href="https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/zerodeaths/docs/FHWA\_SafeSystem\_Brochure\_v9\_508\_200717.pdf">https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/zerodeaths/docs/FHWA\_SafeSystem\_Brochure\_v9\_508\_200717.pdf</a>. Accessed March 31, 2022.
- National Center for Statistics and Analysis. (2022, March). Overview of motor vehicle crashes in 2020. (Report No. DOT HS 813 266). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- Subramanian, R. (2002, January; Revised 2002, October). Transitioning to Multiple Imputation A New Method to Impute Missing Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) values in FARS. (DOT HS 809 403). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- State Traffic Safety Information (STSI). Traffic Safety Performance (Core Outcome) Measures for California. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- United States Department of Transportation. (2022, January). National Roadway Strategy. Washington, DC: United States Department of Transportation. <a href="https://www.transportation.gov/sites/dot.gov/">https://www.transportation.gov/sites/dot.gov/</a> files/2022-02/USDOT-National-Roadway-Safety-Strategy.pdf. Accessed March 30, 2022.

### COUNTY TABLE: ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED ROAD USERS

Figure 3: Alcohol-Involved Fatalities and Serious Injuries, by Number and Rate, 2020

County	Population	Fatalities	Serious Injuries	Fatal & Serious Injuries (FSI)	FSI per 100K Population
Alameda	1,681,700	27	79	106	6.30
Alpine	1,199	1	2	3	250.21
Amador	40,506	5	13	18	44.44
Butte	211,216	12	36	48	22.73
Calaveras	45,277	2	18	20	44.17
Colusa	21,826	2	5	7	32.07
Contra Costa	1,166,669	34	66	100	8.57
Del Norte	27,745	2	9	11	39.65
El Dorado	191,282	8	28	36	18.82
Fresno	1,008,860	42	77	119	11.80
Glenn	28,822	1	11	12	41.64
Humboldt	136,514	5	26	31	22.71
Imperial	178,537	4	19	23	12.88
Inyo	18,977	1	12	13	68.50
Kern	907,021	70	132	202	22.27
Kings	153,085	9	23	32	20.90
Lake	68,099	2	25	27	39.65
Lassen	32,025	2	8	10	31.23
Los Angeles	10,012,474	216	549	765	7.64
Madera	156,519	9	15	24	15.33
Marin	262,410	0	16	16	6.10
Mariposa	17,123	1	6	7	40.88
Mendocino	91,602	4	37	41	44.76
Merced	280,873	14	72	86	30.62
Modoc	8,703	1	3	4	45.96
Mono	13,185	1	1	2	15.17
Monterey	439,008	19	49	68	15.49
Napa	138,433	4	16	20	14.45
Nevada	102,392	6	17	23	22.46
Orange	3,184,513	50	118	168	5.28
Placer	405,308	10	57	67	16.53
Plumas	19,666	2	6	8	40.68
Riverside	2,421,480	94	221	315	13.01
Sacramento	1,585,666	43	161	204	12.87
San Benito	64,110	4	10	14	21.84
San Bernardino	2,181,983	114	214	328	15.03
San Diego	3,303,736	74	246	320	9.69
San Francisco	870,985	6	19	25	2.87
San Joaquin	780,676	42	113	155	19.86
San Luis Obispo	282,996	7	30	37	13.07
San Mateo	763,497	14	32	46	6.03
Santa Barbara	448,659	16	44	60	13.37
Santa Clara	1,933,516	35	91	126	6.52
Santa Cruz	272,360	5	25	30	11.02
Shasta	181,881	15	33	48	26.39
Sierra	3,233	1	3	4	123.72
Siskiyou	44,091	1	18	19	43.09
Solano	453,405	10	34	44	9.70
Sonoma	489,880	8	52	60	12.25
Stanislaus	553,995	18	75	93	16.79
Sutter	100,751	5	16	21	20.84
Tehama	65,643	9	17	26	39.61
Trinity	16,135	3	8	11	68.18
Tulare	473,482	35	78	113	23.87
Tuolumne	55,500	0	28	28	50.45
Ventura	844,545	21	52	73	8.64
Yolo	216,544	12	21	33	15.24
Yuba	81,468	2	15	17	20.87
Total	39,541,786	1,160	3,207	4,367	11.04

 $Source: FARS\ ARF\ 2020;\ Provisional\ SWITRS\ 2020;\ California\ Department\ of\ Finance\ 2021.$