COMPARISON OF PATTERN RECOGNITION-BASED HOTSPOT IDENTIFICATION METHODS BASED ON SIMULATION(20-02761)

INTRODUCTION

- Hotspot methods rely on SPFs
- Volume and infrastructure can be incomplete or unavailable
- Alternatively is to ascertain if there is a recurring crash pattern.
- We compares Binomial and Beta-Binomial methods for prioritizing hotspots in the absence of volume information and SPFs

METHOD: BINOMIAL TEST

Mean proportion of some crash type c: $\bar{p}_c = \frac{\sum_i x_{ic}}{\sum_i n_i}$

Probability of observing at least x_{ic} crashes of type c of n_i crashes:

$$p-val_{ic}^{b} = P(x \ge x_{ic} | n_{i}, \overline{p}) = 1 - P[x \le (x_{i} - 1)]$$
$$= 1 - \sum_{x=0}^{x_{ic}-1} \frac{n_{i}}{x! (n_{i} - x)!} (\overline{p})^{x} (1 - \overline{p})^{n_{i}-x}$$



ransportation Studies

Berkeley



Jiajian Lu, Aditya Medury, Offer Grembek UC Berkeley Safe Transportation Research & Education Center

Binomial distribution does not account for overdispersion in crash proportions

Binomial test evaluates the exceedance of the observed crashes of a given type observed at a specific site relative to the mean crash proportion in the reference population Beta-Binomial test evaluates the median crash proportion in the reference population relative to the EB-adjusted crash proportion of a specific site.

Dispersion	Low	Medium	High
Binomial Test	0.62	0.63	0.66
Beta-Binomial	0.58	0.61	0.62

DISCUSSION

- dynamic may aid in the identification of appropriate countermeasures

Beta Binomial performs better in hotspot with motor vehicle crashes as more crashes are included Pattern recognition is needed even in the presence of SPFs as the detection of an underlying crash

Two methods perform similar

safetrec.berkeley.edu

Berkeley SafeTREC